Enhancement of entanglement in distant micromechanical mirrors using parametric interactions

Chang-Sheng Hu,[1] Xi-Rong Huang,[1] Li-Tuo Shen,[1] Zhen-Biao Yang,[1] and Huai-Zhi Wu[1]

[1] *Department of Physics, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou 350002, People's Republic of China*

We theoretically investigate the stability of a two cascaded cavity optomechanical system with optical parametric amplifiers (OPAs) inside the two coupled cavities, and study the steady-state entanglement between two distant mechanical resonators. We show that the parameter regime where the system is unstable without OPAs, such as relatively high laser intensity and blue detuning, can be exploited to build the steady-state mechanical entanglement by modulating the parametric gain. The application of OPAs is helpful to preserve the mechanical entanglement suffered from the dissipation at some finite temperature. The scheme provides an alternative way for improving and engineering the quantum entanglement of two distant mechanical oscillators.

PACS numbers:

arXiv:1606.08946v2 [quant-ph] 30 Jun 2016

I. *INTRODUCTION*

Quantum entanglement is a key resource for quantum information processing and quantum communication. One now has a fairly good understanding of how to produce entanglement among microscopic systems, such as atoms [1], ions [2], or artificial qubits [3]. In recent years there has been considerable interest in studying macroscopic entanglement in the context of cavity optomechanics [4], where the great experimental advance has made it possible to study the quantum effects and the preparation of nonclassical states for the optomechanical systems, including the realization of the squeezed light [5–9], squeezed mechanical resonator [10–18], macroscopic superposition state [19, 20], and mechanical entanglement [21–28].

Macroscopic entanglement of mechanical resonators plays a key role in testing the fundamental principles of quantum mechanics, quantum information processing, and ultrahigh-precision measurements [29–32]. The generation of stationary entanglement with two or several mechanical objects in an optical cavity has been widely discussed [33–35]. Very recently, the coupling scheme between two cascaded optomechanical setups has also been proposed. The quantum entanglement between two macroscopic mechanical resonators can be generated with the systems being connected by an optical fiber or direct photon tunneling [36] , but the mechanical entanglement is small and limited to the enviromental bath of low temperature. Chen et al. have found that the entanglement can be enhanced by using a periodically modulated pump laser [37], where the chirped frequency needs to be subtlely controlled and is therefore sensitive to fluctuation of experimental parameters. Further increasing the mechanical entanglement may require the strong single-photon coupling, and the mechanical resonators should be firstly cooled down to the quantum ground state [38].

Recent studies have found that including an optical parametric amplifier inside a cavity could affect the normal-mode splitting behavior between the coupled movable mirror and the cavity field [39] and significantly enhance the optomechanical effective coupling [40]. Moreover, squeezing of cavity modes can considerably improve the cooling of the micromechanical mirror [41] and the squeezing properties can be transferred from photons to phonons with high efficiency [42]. Here, we propose a scheme to enhance the mechanical stationary entanglement for two distant movable mirrors by placing two OPAs inside two coupled optomechanical cavities. The cavity fields are coupled through a photon-hopping interaction. It is shown that the limits for the stability condition for the coupled optomechanical system can be relaxed by controlling the parametric gain, and therefore, the stable region of the corresponding parameter space expands. The enhancement of mechanical entanglement can be achieved by appropriately tuning the parametric gain and the effective laser-cavity detuning, and is even more pronounced with laser blue-detuning from cavity resonance. In addition, since the difficulty of preserving quantum entanglement of macroscopic systems is often attributed to environment-induced decoherence, we also show that the OPAs inside the cavities can help to witness the steady-state entanglement between the mechanical resonators suffered from certain thermal noise.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II , we introduce the setup with two coupled cavity optomechanical systems and build the corresponding theoretical model. In section III, we give the covariance matrix for the system and use it to calculate the logarithmic negativity, which quantifies the steady-state mechanical entanglement. In section IV, we present the effect of the OPAs on the generated mechanical entanglement by numerical simulation. Finally, we summarize the results in section V.

II. MODEL

We consider a physical setup consisting of two identical Fabry-Perot cavities, and each cavity contains a degenerate optical parametric amplifier(OPA) and has one movable and one fixed mirror, as plotted in Fig. 1. The fixed mirror is partially transmitting, but the movable mirror is totally reflecting. We assumed that each cavity only has one resonant mode, and the cavity modes are coupled via a photon-hopping interaction. The single mode assumption is valid when the cavity coupling λ is small compared with the free spectral range (FSR) of each uncoupled cavity. The cavity modes with the same frequency ω_c are driven equally by external lasers with frequency ω_l and amplitude E . The movable mirrors are considered as quantum mechanical harmonic oscillators, with the same effective mass m , frequency ω_m , and damping rate γ_m. Under the action of cavity-photon-induced radiation pressure, the movable mirrors will make oscillations around their equilibrium positions. For the degenerate OPA, we assume that the pump fields interact with the second-order nonlinear optical crystals at frequency $2\omega_l$, with the gain of the OPAs being Λ, which depends on the pumping power. The phase of the pump field is assumed to be θ. In the rotating frame at the laser frequency ω_l, the Hamiltonian of the system reads ($\hbar = 1$)



Figure 1: (Color online) Schematic diagram of the cascaded cavity optomechanical system. Each cavity contains a nonlinear crystal that is pumped by an external laser. The two optomechanical subsystems couple to each other via a photon-hopping interaction.

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{2}[\Delta_0 a_j^\dagger a_j + \tfrac{\omega_m}{2}(p_j^2+q_j^2) - g a_j^\dagger a_j q_j + iE(a_j^\dagger - a_j) + i\Lambda(a_j^{\dagger 2}e^{-i\theta} - a_j{}^2 e^{i\theta})] + \lambda(a_1^\dagger a_2 + a_1 a_2^\dagger), \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta_0 = \omega_c - \omega_l$ is the laser detuning from the cavity resonance frequency ω_c ; a_j and $a_j^\dagger$ are the annihilation and creation operator of the cavity modes, and q_j and p_j are the dimensionless position and momentum operators for the movable mirrors, satisfying the standard canonical commutation relation $[q_j,\, p_j] = i$. The parameter $g = \omega_c x_{ZPF}/L$ is the single-photon coupling strength between the cavity and the mirror due to radiation pressure, where $x_{ZPF} = \sqrt{\hbar/(2m\omega_m)}$ is the zero-point fluctuation of the mirror and L is the rest length of the cavity. $|E| = \sqrt{2\kappa P/\hbar\omega_l}$ is related to the input laser power P , with κ being the cavity decay rate due to the leakage of photons through the partially transmitting mirror.

A proper analysis of the system must take the cavity decay and the mechanical damping into account, according to the Heisenberg equation of motion , the dissipative dynamics of the full system is described by the following set of nonlinear quantum Langevin equations (QLEs) [43]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{q}_1 &= \omega_m p_1, \\
\dot{p}_1 &= -\omega_m q_1 - \gamma_m p_1 + g a_1^\dagger a_1 + \xi_1(t), \\
\dot{q}_2 &= \omega_m p_2, \\
\dot{p}_2 &= -\omega_m q_2 - \gamma_m p_2 + g a_2^\dagger a_2 + \xi_2(t), \\
\dot{a}_1 &= -(\kappa + i\Delta_0)a_1 + iga_1 q_1 + E + 2\Lambda e^{i\theta} a_1^\dagger - i\lambda a_2 + \sqrt{2\kappa}a_1^{in}(t), \\
\dot{a}_2 &= -(\kappa + i\Delta_0)a_2 + iga_2 q_2 + E + 2\Lambda e^{i\theta} a_2^\dagger - i\lambda a_1 + \sqrt{2\kappa}a_2^{in}(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

here a_j^{in} are the independent input quantum noise operators with zero mean value, and their nonzero correlation function are given by [43]

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle a_j^{in\dagger}(t) a_j^{in}(t') \right\rangle &= n_a \delta(t-t'), \\
\left\langle a_j^{in}(t) a_j^{in\dagger}(t') \right\rangle &= (n_a+1)\delta(t-t'),
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with $n_a = [\exp(\hbar\omega_c/k_BT) - 1]^{-1}$ being the mean thermal excitation number in the optical mode, and k_B being the Boltzmann constant. The Hermitian Brownian noise operators $\xi_1(t)$ and $\xi_2(t)$ have the quantum statistical properties [44, 45]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi_1(t) \rangle &= \langle \xi_2(t) \rangle = 0, \\ \langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t') \rangle &= \frac{\gamma_m}{\omega_m} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \omega [1 + coth(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_BT})] \delta_{ij}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where i , j $\in$ 1, 2 and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. By assuming the mechanical quality factor $Q_m = \omega_m/\gamma_m \gg 1$, the correlation function of the noise $\xi_j(t)$ becomes

$$\langle \xi_j(t)\xi_j(t') + \xi_j(t')\xi_j(t) \rangle /2 = \gamma_m(2n_m + 1)\delta(t - t'), \tag{5}$$

where $n_m = [\exp(\hbar\omega_m/k_BT) - 1]^{-1}$ is the mean thermal excitation number in the movable mirror.

In the presence of strong laser driving, we can always rewrite each Heisenberg operator as linear sum of the classical mean$\langle A \rangle = A_j^s (A = q,\, p,\, a)$ and the quantum fluctuation δA_j with $\langle \delta A_j \rangle = 0$, i.e.

$$A = A_j^s + \delta A_j,\ j = 1, 2. \tag{6}$$

By inserting Eq. (6) into Eq. (2), it is easy to find the steady-state momentum p_j^s and displacement q_j^s of the movable mirrors, and the steady-state amplitude a_j^s of the cavity fields given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_1^s &= p_2^s = 0, \\ q_1^s &= q_2^s = q_s = \frac{g|a_s|^2}{\omega_m}, \\ a_1^s &= a_2^s = a_s = \frac{\kappa - i(\Delta+\lambda) + 2\Lambda e^{i\theta}}{\kappa^2 + (\Delta+\lambda)^2 - 4\Lambda^2} E \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

with

$$\Delta = \Delta_0 - gq_s = \Delta_0 - \frac{g^2 |a_s|^2}{\omega_m} \tag{8}$$

being the effective input-laser detuning from the cavity resonance including the frequency shifts caused by the optomechanical interaction [41, 46], and the linearized quantum Langevin equation for the quantum fluctuation operators as following

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\dot{q}_1 &= \omega_m \delta p_1, \\ \delta\dot{p}_1 &= -\omega_m \delta q_1 - \gamma_m \delta p_1 + g(a_s^* \delta a_1 + a_s \delta a_1^\dagger) + \xi_1(t), \\ \delta\dot{q}_2 &= \omega_m \delta p_2, \\ \delta\dot{p}_2 &= -\omega_m \delta q_2 - \gamma_m \delta p_2 + g(a_s^* \delta a_2 + a_s \delta a_2^\dagger) + \xi_2(t), \\ \delta\dot{a}_1 &= -(\kappa + i\Delta_0)\delta a_1 + iga_s \delta q_1 + igq_s \delta a_1 + 2\Lambda e^{i\theta} \delta a_1^\dagger - i\lambda \delta a_2 + \sqrt{2\kappa} a_1^{in}(t), \\ \delta\dot{a}_2 &= -(\kappa + i\Delta_0)\delta a_2 + iga_s \delta q_2 + igq_s \delta a_2 + 2\Lambda e^{i\theta} \delta a_2^\dagger - i\lambda \delta a_1 + \sqrt{2\kappa} a_2^{in}(t), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where the nonlinear terms $\delta a_j^\dagger \delta a_j$ and $\delta a_j \delta q_j$ have been safely neglected [47].

III. ENTANGLEMENT MEASUREMENT OF THE TWO MECHANICAL OSCILLATORS

Now, we start looking into the measurement of the steady-state entanglement between the two mechanical modes. By introducing the amplitude and phase fluctuations $\delta x_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta a_j^\dagger + \delta a_j)$ and $\delta y_j = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta a_j^\dagger - \delta a_j)$ for the cavity modes, and $x_j^{in}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a_j^{in\dagger}(t) + a_j^{in}(t))$ and $y_j^{in}(t) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\delta a_j^{in\dagger}(t) - \delta a_j^{in}(t))$ for the input quantum noises, the linearized QLEs for the quantum fluctuation in Eq. (9) can be rewritten as the matrix form

$$\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = MU(t) + N(t), \tag{10}$$

where $U(t) = (\delta q_1, \delta p_1, \delta q_2, \delta p_2, \delta x_1, \delta y_1, \delta x_2, \delta y_2)^T$ is the column vector of continuous variables(CV) fluctuations, $N(t) = (0, \xi_1(t), 0, \xi_2(t), \sqrt{2\kappa}\delta x_1^{in}(t), \sqrt{2\kappa}\delta y_1^{in}(t), \sqrt{2\kappa}\delta x_2^{in}(t), \sqrt{2\kappa}\delta y_2^{in}(t))^T$ is the column vector of the noise sources, and M is the drift matrix given by

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & M_2 \\ M_3 & M_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \omega_m & 0 & 0 \\ -\omega_m & -\gamma_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega_m \\ 0 & 0 & -\omega_m & -\gamma_m \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G_x & G_y & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & G_x & G_y \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -G_y & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ G_x & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -G_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & G_x & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} -\kappa + 2\Lambda\cos\theta & \Delta + 2\Lambda\sin\theta & 0 & \lambda \\ -\Delta + 2\Lambda\sin\theta & -\kappa - 2\Lambda\cos\theta & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & -\kappa + 2\Lambda\cos\theta & \Delta + 2\Lambda\sin\theta \\ -\lambda & 0 & -\Delta + 2\Lambda\sin\theta & -\kappa - 2\Lambda\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, G_x and G_y are the real and imaginary parts of the effective coupling $G = \sqrt{2}ga_s$, respectively. The stability of the system demands that all the eigenvalues of the drift matrix M has negative real parts by applying the Routh-Hurwitz criterion [48, 49]. For the later discussion of the mechanical entanglement in the present work, the stability condition for all situations are carefully verified.

As the stability condition is fulfilled, the system will finally evolve into a unique steady state independent of the initial condition. Since the dynamics of the fluctuations is linearized, and $\xi_j(t)$ and $a_j^{in}(t)$ are zero-mean quantum Gaussian noises, the steady state for the quantum fluctuations must be a Gaussian state [50], for which all the quantum correlations can then be characterized by the 8×8 covariance matrix(CM) V with $V_{ij} = \langle U_i(\infty)U_j(\infty) + U_j(\infty)U_i(\infty)\rangle /2$, where $U^T(\infty) = (\delta q_1(\infty), \delta p_1(\infty), \delta q_2(\infty), \delta p_2(\infty), \delta x_1(\infty), \delta y_1(\infty), \delta x_2(\infty), \delta y_2(\infty))$ denotes the vector of the fluctuation operators at the steady state $(t \to \infty)$. Under the stable condition, the CM elements take the form

$$V_{ij} = \sum_{k,l} \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty dt' W_{ik}(t) W_{jl}(t') \Phi_{kl}(t - t'), \tag{11}$$

where $W = \exp(Mt)$, and $\Phi_{kl}(t-t') = \langle N_k(t)N_l(t') + N_l(t')N_k(t)\rangle /2$ is the matrix of the stationary noise correlation functions. For the mechanical oscillators with large quality factor $Q_m = \omega_m/\gamma_m \gg 1$, the quantum Brownian noise becomes delta-correlated

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{kl}(t - t') &= D_{kl}\delta(t - t') \\ &= diag[0, \gamma_m(2n_m + 1), 0, \gamma_m(2n_m + 1), \kappa(2n_a + 1), \kappa(2n_a + 1), \kappa(2n_a + 1), \kappa(2n_a + 1)]\delta(t - t'), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

then the Eq. (11) can be simplified to

$$V = \int_0^\infty dt W(t) D W^T(t). \tag{13}$$

Finally, the CM V satisfies the Lyapunov equation [51]

$$MV + VM^T = -D. \tag{14}$$

The mechanical entanglement can be measured by the logarithmic negativity E_N [52, 53]. To calculate E_N , we firstly numerically solve the Lyapunov equation above and find the solution for V , and then exact the reduced 4×4 CM V_m for the two mechanical modes from the full 8×8 CM V by just keeping the first four rows and columns. By rewritting CM V_m in the following form

$$V_m = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ C^T & B \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

with A , B and C being the 2×2 sub-block matrices of V_m , the logarithmic negativity E_N is finally given by

$$E_N = \max[0, -\ln \eta^-], \tag{16}$$

with

$$\eta^- = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma - \sqrt{\Sigma^2 - 4 \det V_m}}{2}}, \tag{17}$$

where $\Sigma = \det A + \det B - 2 \det C$. If the two mechanical objects are entangled, the value of η^- must be less than $1/2$, which is equivalent to Simon's necessary and sufficient entanglement nonpositive partial transpose criterion for Gaussian states [54].

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS



Figure 2: (Color online) (a) The mechanical entanglement E_N versus the parametric amplifier phase θ and parametric gain Λ. (b) E_N versus the parametric amplifier phase θ for different parametric gain, $\Lambda/\omega_m = 1.3$(red curve), 1.7(blue curve), 2.1(black curve). Other parameters are (in units of ω_m): $\kappa/\omega_m = 0.01$, $\gamma_m/\omega_m = 2 \times 10^{-3}$, $n_a = 0$, $n_m = 0$, $g/\omega_m = 4 \times 10^{-4}$, $\lambda/\omega_m = 20$, $E/\omega_m = 2 \times 10^7$, $\Delta/\omega_m = 3$ [37].

Firstly, we examine the effect of the parametric amplifier phase θ on the mechanical entanglement E_N, as shown in Fig. 2. In all cases, the blue region represents that the system is unstable. For weak parametric gain Λ, the mechanical system remains unstable independent of the amplifier phase. The steady-state mechanical entanglement can only be achieved for $\Lambda/\omega_m > 0.2$ and θ being limited between 0 and π. We can also see from the Fig. 2(b) that the maximal entanglement E_N always appears at $\theta = \pi/2$ for varied parametric gain ensuring the stability of the system. This fact is true as well for negative laser detuning Δ, which is checked numerically. Therefore, in the following, the parametric amplifier phase will be taken as $\theta = \pi/2$ in all cases.



Figure 3: (Color online) The mechanical entanglement E_N versus driving amplitude E and cavity-cavity coupling strength λ with the parametric gain (a) $\Lambda/\omega_m = 0$ and (b) $\Lambda/\omega_m = 1.3$. Other parameters are identical to those in Fig. 2.

Figs. 3(a) and (b) show the mechanical entanglement E_N as a function of the driving amplitude E and the coupling strength λ with OPAs and without OPAs inside the cavities, respectively. In both cases, it can be seen that the mechanical entanglement E_N increases with increasing driving amplitude E and cavity-cavity coupling strength λ. For fixed λ, increasing laser driving strength does not implies that the mechanical entanglement will be enhanced. The system becomes unstable when the driving amplitude E reaches certain limit. However, we find that in the presence of the OPAs ($\Lambda/\omega_m = 1.3$), the stable region for obtaining the steady-state mechanical entanglement expands in the parameter space (λ, E), that is the reasonable high steady-state entanglement can be achieved for smaller cavity-cavity coupling $\lambda/\omega_m \sim 18$.



Figure 4: (Color online) The mechanical entanglement E_N for cavity-cavity coupling strength $\lambda/\omega_m = 18$ versus the parametric gain Λ and the driving amplitude E. Other parameters are same as those in Fig. 2.

The limit for the laser driving strength E can be enlarged with the assistance of OPAs, as can be seen in Fig. 4. While for parametric gain $\Lambda/\omega_m = 0$, the optomechanical system becomes unstable for $E/\omega_m \approx 1.7 \times 10^7$, the steady-state entanglement $E_N = 0.07$ is accessible even for $E/\omega_m \approx 2.2 \times 10^7$with parametric gain $\Lambda/\omega_m = 2$. The maximal entanglement in this case can be found at $\Lambda/\omega_m = 0.85$ and $E/\omega_m = 1.71 \times 10^7$.



Figure 5: (Color online) The steady-state mechanical entanglement E_N versus parametric gain Λ and cavity-cavity coupling strength λ, and the effective cavity detuning (a) $\Delta/\omega_m = 3$ and (b) $\Delta/\omega_m = -3$. Other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2.

As we have seen in the Fig. 3, the steady-state mechanical entanglement is also limited by the cavity-cavity coupling λ, namely, the larger the cavity-cavity coupling is, the stronger laser driving strength required. However, this limit can also be released by using the OPAs, as shown in Fig. 5, where the steady-state mechanical entanglement E_N for positive laser detuning $\Delta/\omega_m = 3$ [Fig. 5(a)] and negative laser detuning $\Delta/\omega_m = -3$ [Fig. 5(b)] as a function of the parametric gain Λ and the coupling strength λ are plotted. Setting the driving amplitude $E/\omega_m = 2 \times 10^7$, in the absence of OPAs, the fulfillment of the stability condition is impossible for $\lambda/\omega_m < 20.4$ with $\Delta/\omega_m = 3$, and

for $\lambda/\omega_m < 26.4$ with $\Delta/\omega_m = -3$. The involvement of the OPAs with finite parametric gain can help to stably entangle the two mechanical oscillators under the condition of less cavity-cavity coupling λ, which may be significant for relieving experimental constraints. Moreover, the steady-state mechanical entanglement E_N are enhanced by the parametric gain in both cases for the same cavity-cavity coupling.



Figure 6: (Color online) The mechanical entanglement E_N versus parametric gain Λ and the effective cavity detuning Δ. Other parameters are same as the Fig. 5. The coordinate data at $(\Lambda/\omega_m = 0, \Delta/\omega_m = 5.48, E_N = 0.066)$, $(\Lambda/\omega_m = 1.18, \Delta/\omega_m = 3.03, E_N = 0.091)$, $(\Lambda/\omega_m = 2.71, \Delta/\omega_m = 0, E_N = 0.106)$, $(\Lambda/\omega_m = 4.19, \Delta/\omega_m = -2.99, E_N = 0.115)$, and $(\Lambda/\omega_m = 4.83, \Delta/\omega_m = -4.25, E_N = 0.117)$ are indicated, respectively, by right triangle, upper triangle , circular, square, and diamond.

The effect of the OPAs on the steady-state mechanical entanglement can be further seen in Fig. 6, where we show the mechanical entanglement E_N versus the parametric gain Λ and the effective cavity detuning Δ. When the OPAs are absent $(\Lambda/\omega_m = 0)$, the maximal mechanical entanglement can only reach $E_N = 0.066$ for the effective detuning around $\Delta/\omega_m = 5.48$. Then, it becomes nearly double $E_N = 0.117$ at $\Delta/\omega_m = -4.25$ with the parametric gain $\Lambda/\omega_m = 4.83$. On the other hand, the stability of the system is confined in a band area with the increasing the parametric gain Λ. For $\Lambda/\omega_m > 2.71$, the stability can be found even at negative detuning Δ, where the input laser may be blue-detuned from the cavity resonance (i.e. $\Delta_0/\omega_m < 0$). This intriguing effect is very different from the situation without OPAs [36]. The mechanical entanglement E_N as a function of the average thermal occupancy of the two mirrors n_m is shown in Fig. 7, where we find that E_N almost decreases linearly as n_m increases. Thus, for a non-zero thermal reservoir for the mechanical oscillators, the well selected laser detuning and parametric gain can help to preserve the mechanical entanglement.



Figure 7: (Color online) The mechanical entanglement E_N versus the thermal phonon number n_m. The curves are corresponding to the different coordinates indicated in Fig. 5. Other parameters are same as the Fig. 6.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have discussed the stability of the coupled optomechanical systems and the enhancement of mechanical entanglement for the two movable mirrors by using the OPAs. We have found that the stable region in the parameter space consisting of photon-hopping rate and laser driving strength can be enlarged due to the parametric amplification. Comparing with the case without OPAs, a well-selected parametric gain can help to stabilize the system for increasing laser intensity and negative laser detuning, and enhance the steady-state mechanical entanglement. The application of OPAs can be good for preserving the mechanical entanglement suffered from the decoherence induced by certain temperature limit of the environment. The scheme discussed here provides an alternative method for improving the quantum entanglement of two distant mechanical oscillators in addition to quantum reservoir engineering and periodic modulation with chirped driving laser.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Major State Basic Research Development Program of China under Grant No. 2012CB921601; the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants Nos. 11305037, 11347114, 11374054 and 11422437. The fund from FuZhou University CSH thanks Ze-Lin Zhang and Du Ran for useful discussions.

[1] Mandel, O., Greiner, M., Widera, A., Rom, T., Hänsch, T. W.: Controlled collisions for multi-particle entanglement of optically trapped atoms. Nature **425**, 937-940 (1961).
[2] Häffner, H., Hänsel, W., Roos, C. F., Benhelm, J., Chwalla, M., Körber, T., et al.: Scalable multiparticle entanglement of trapped ions. Nature **438**, 643-646 (2005).
[3] Barends, R., Kelly, J., Megrant, A., Veitia, A., Sank, D., Jeffrey, E., et al.: Superconducting quantum circuits at the surface code threshold for fault tolerance. Nature **508**, 500-503 (2014).
[4] Marquardt, F., Girvin, S. M.: Optomechanics (a brief review). Physics **2**, 40-46 (2009).
[5] Fabre, C., Pinard, M., Bourzeix, S., Heidmann, A., Giacobino, E., Reynaud, S.: Quantum-noise reduction using a cavity with a movable mirror. Phys. Rev. A **49**, 1337-1343.(1994).
[6] Purdy, T. P., Yu, P. L., Peterson, R. W., Kampel, N. S., Regal, C. A.: Strong optomechanical squeezing of light. Phys. Rev. X. **3**, 031012(8) (2013).
[7] Kronwald, A., Marquardt, F., Clerk, A. A.: Dissipative optomechanical squeezing of light. New J. Phys. **16**, 063058(21) (2014).
[8] Qu, K., Agarwal, G. S.: Generating quadrature squeezed light with dissipative optomechanical coupling. Phys. Rev. A **91**, 063815(7) (2015).
[9] Kilda, D., Nunnenkamp, A.: Squeezed light and correlated photons from dissipatively coupled optomechanical systems. J. Opt. **18**, 014007(7) (2015).
[10] Agarwal, G. S., Kumar, S. A.: Exact quantum-statistical dynamics of an oscillator with time-dependent frequency and generation of nonclassical states. Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3665-3668 (1991).
[11] Mari, A., Eisert, J.: Gently modulating optomechanical systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 213603(4) (2009).
[12] Gu, W. J., Li, G. X.: Squeezing of the mirror motion via periodic modulations in a dissipative optomechanical system. Optics express. Opt. Express **21**, 20423-20440 (2013).
[13] Liao, J. Q., Law, C. K.: Parametric generation of quadrature squeezing of mirrors in cavity optomechanics. Phys.Rev. A **83**, 033820(4) (2011).
[14] Kronwald, A., Marquardt, F., Clerk, A. A.: Arbitrarily large steady-state bosonic squeezing via dissipation. Phys. Rev. A **88**, 063833(10) (2013).
[15] Huang, S., Agarwal, G. S.: Reactive coupling can beat the motional quantum limit of nanowaveguides coupled to a microdisk resonator. Phys. Rev. A **82**, 033811(5) (2010).
[16] Lü, X. Y., Liao, J. Q., Tian, L., Nori, F.: Steady-state mechanical squeezing in an optomechanical system via Duffing nonlinearity. Phys. Rev. A **91**, 013834(7) (2015).
[17] Clerk, A. A., Marquardt, F., Jacobs, K.: Back-action evasion and squeezing of a mechanical resonator using a cavity detector. New J. Phys. **10**, 095010(20) (2008).
[18] Szorkovszky, A., Brawley, G. A., Doherty, A. C., Bowen, W. P.: Strong thermomechanical squeezing via weak measurement. Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 184301(5) (2013).
[19] Bose, S., Jacobs, K., Knight, P. L.: Scheme to probe the decoherence of a macroscopic object. **59**, 3204-3210 (1999).
[20] Marshall, W., Simon, C., Penrose, R., Bouwmeester, D.: Towards quantum superpositions of a mirror. Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 130401(4) (2003).
[21] Paternostro, M., Vitali, D., Gigan, S., Kim, M. S., Brukner, C., Eisert, J., Aspelmeyer, M.: Creating and probing multipartite macroscopic entanglement with light. Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 250401(4) (2007).

[22] Hartmann, M. J., Plenio, M. B.: Steady state entanglement in the mechanical vibrations of two dielectric membranes. Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 200503(4) (2008).

[23] Huang, S., Agarwal, G. S.: Entangling nanomechanical oscillators in a ring cavity by feeding squeezed light. New J. Phys. **11**, 103044(13) (2009).

[24] Zhou, L., Han, Y., Jing, J., Zhang, W.: Entanglement of nanomechanical oscillators and two-mode fields induced by atomic coherence.Phys. Rev. A **83**, 052117(6) (2011).

[25] Yin, Z. Q., Han, Y. J.: Generating EPR beams in a cavity optomechanical system. Phys.Rev. A. **79**, 024301(4) (2009).

[26] Wang, Y. D., Clerk, A. A.: Reservoir-engineered entanglement in optomechanical systems. Physical review letters. Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 253601(5) (2013).

[27] Wang, Y. D., Chesi, S., Clerk, A. A.: Bipartite and tripartite output entanglement in three-mode optomechanical systems. Phys. Rev. A **91**, 013807(10) (2015).

[28] Deng, Z. J., Yan, X. B., Wang, Y. D., Wu, C. W.: Optimizing the output-photon entanglement in multimode optomechanical systems. Phys. Rev.A **93**, 033842(6) (2016).

[29] Hofer, S. G., Wieczorek, W., Aspelmeyer, M., Hammerer, K.: Quantum entanglement and teleportation in pulsed cavity optomechanics. Phys. Rev. A **84**, 052327(10) (2011).

[30] Mancini, S., Vitali, D., Tombesi, P.: Scheme for teleportation of quantum states onto a mechanical resonator. Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 137901(4) (2003).

[31] Yang, Y. T., Callegari, C., Feng, X. L., Ekinci, K. L., Roukes, M. L.: Zeptogram-scale nanomechanical mass sensing. Nano. Lett. **6**, 583-586 (2006).

[32] Kippenberg, T. J., Vahala, K. J.: Cavity optomechanics: back-action at the mesoscale. Science **321**, 1172-1176 (2008).

[33] Ge, W., Al-Amri, M., Nha, H., Zubairy, M. S.: Entanglement of movable mirrors in a correlated-emission laser. Phys. Rev. A **88**, 022338(8) (2013).

[34] Yang, C. J., An, J. H., Yang, W., Li, Y.: Generation of stable entanglement between two cavity mirrors by squeezed-reservoir engineering. Phys. Rev. A **92**, 062311(9) (2015).

[35] Xu, X. W., Zhao, Y. J., Liu, Y. X. (2013). Entangled-state engineering of vibrational modes in a multimembrane optomechanical system. Phys. Rev. A **88**, 022325(14) (2013).

[36] Joshi, C., Larson, J., Jonson, M., Andersson, E., ?hberg, P.: Entanglement of distant optomechanical systems. Phys. Rev. A **85**, 033805(11) (2012).

[37] Chen, R. X., Shen, L. T., Yang, Z. B., Wu, H. Z., Zheng, S. B.: Enhancement of entanglement in distant mechanical vibrations via modulation in a coupled optomechanical system. Phys. Rev. A **89**, 023843(9) (2014).

[38] Liao, J. Q., Wu, Q. Q., Nori, F.: Entangling two macroscopic mechanical mirrors in a two-cavity optomechanical system. Phys. Rev. A **89**, 014302(5) (2014).

[39] Huang, S., Agarwal, G. S.: Normal-mode splitting in a coupled system of a nanomechanical oscillator and a parametric amplifier cavity. Phys. Rev. A **80**, 033807(7) (2009).

[40] Lü, X. Y., Wu, Y., Johansson, J. R., Jing, H., Zhang, J., Nori, F.: Squeezed optomechanics with phase-matched amplification and dissipation. Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 093602(6) (2015).

[41] Huang, S., Agarwal, G. S.: Enhancement of cavity cooling of a micromechanical mirror using parametric interactions. Phys. Rev. A **79**, 013821(6) (2009).

[42] Agarwal, G. S., Huang, S.: Strong mechanical squeezing and its detection. Phys. Rev. A **93**, 043844(10) (2016).

[43] C. W. Gardiner and P. Zoller, Quantum Noise, 3rd ed. (Springer, New York, 2004).

[44] Giovannetti, V., Vitali, D.: Phase-noise measurement in a cavity with a movable mirror undergoing quantum Brownian motion. Phys. Rev. A **63**, 023812(8) (2001).

[45] Clerk, A. A., Devoret, M. H., Girvin, S. M., Marquardt, F., Schoelkopf, R. J.: Introduction to quantum noise, measurement, and amplification. Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1155-1208 (2010).

[46] Xu, X. W., Li, Y.: Optical nonreciprocity and optomechanical circulator in three-mode optomechanical systems. Phys. Rev. A **91**, 053854(8) (2015).

[47] Vitali, D., Gigan, S., Ferreira, A., B?hm, H. R., Tombesi, P., Guerreiro, A., Aspelmeyer, M.: Optomechanical entanglement between a movable mirror and a cavity field. Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 030405(4) (2007).

[48] A. Hurwitz, in Selected Papers on Mathematical Trends in Control Theory, edited by R. Bellman and R. Kalaba (Dover, New York, 1964).

[49] DeJesus, E. X., Kaufman, C.: Routh-Hurwitz criterion in the examination of eigenvalues of a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. Phys. Rev. A **35**, 5288-5290 (1987).

[50] Weedbrook, C., Pirandola, S., GarcÍa-Patrón, R., Cerf, N. J., Ralph, T. C., Shapiro, J. H., Lloyd, S.: Gaussian quantum information. Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 621 (2012).

[51] Mancini, S., Giovannetti, V., Vitali, D., Tombesi, P.: Entangling macroscopic oscillators exploiting radiation pressure. Phy. Rev. Lett. **88**, 120401(4) (2002).

[52] Vidal, G., Werner, R. F.:Computable measure of entanglement. Phys. Rev. A **65**, 032314(11) (2002).

[53] Adesso, G., Serafini, A., Illuminati, F.: Extremal entanglement and mixedness in continuous variable systems. Phys. Rev. A **70**, 022318(18) (2004).

[54] Simon, R.: Peres-Horodecki separability criterion for continuous variable systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 2726-2729 (2000).